

REMARKS


Applicants appreciate the Notice of Allowance of July 17, 2007. However, Applicants submit that the Examiner's Amendment is incorrect. In particular, the Decision on Appeal of March 6, 2007 (hereinafter "Decision") indicates that the rejections with respect to Claims 12, 13 and 17 are reversed. *See* Decision, page 7. The Examiner's Amendment in the Notice of Allowance states:

...Hence claim 13, in combination with claim 12 has been converted into independent form, and claim 17 has been converted into independent form that includes intervening claim 16.

See Notice of Allowance, page 2 (Examiner's Amendment). Applicants respectfully submit that Claim 13 depends from Claim 10, not Claim 12. Therefore, Claim 13 should have been converted into independent form, not combined with the recitations of Claim 12. Thus, there should be three independent claims remaining in the application, not two. Accordingly, Applicants provide herewith a listing of claims including the claims that should issue in the present application.

In particular, Applicants have amended Claim 10 to include the recitations of allowed Claim 12 and have cancelled Claim 12 from the present application. Furthermore, Applicants have rewritten Claim 13 in independent form including the recitations of independent Claim 10. Applicants have also rewritten Claim 17 in independent form including the recitations of Claims 10 and 16 and have cancelled Claim 16 from the present application. Applicants have not cancelled dependent Claims 14 and 15 as they are patentable per the patentability of amended independent Claim 10. Finally, Applicants have cancelled Claims 1-9, 11, 18-23, 25 and 29-31 from the present application. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claims 10, 13 and 17 and dependent Claims 14 and 15 should issue in the patent as set out above for at least the reasons discussed herein.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Elizabeth A. Stanek', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Elizabeth A. Stanek
Registration No. 48,568

Attorney Docket No.: 5577-337/RSW920010175US1

Application Serial No.: 10/042,794

Filed: January 9, 2002

Page 6

USPTO Customer No. 46589

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec

Post Office Box 37428

Raleigh, North Carolina 27627

Telephone: 919/854-1400

Facsimile: 919/854-1401